

Using AI/ML for Network-optimized DDoS Mitigation

Daniel Beszkid

12 October 2023



#1: Botnets have taken over the (DDoS) world

2002 - 2022

- Majority of DDoS is spoofed / IPHM
- Originates from 50 EU / AP hosting providers
- Abuses misconfigured NTP / DNS servers

2023

- Botnets are now majority of all DDoS bytes
- Botnets now represent 90% of complex attacks
- Botnet circumvent traditional anti-DDoS systems



Nokia data showing botnet originated DDoS traffic as percentage of all attack traffic over last year. Data from GDTA participating service and cloud providers around the world with Nokia commercial DDoS defense solution

50 DDoS from Botnets as Percentage of all Attack Bytes

Booter services As easy as "click, pay and launch"



NOKIA

Some facts: #2: There are many bots...

IoT and Cloud are now everywhere in the enterprise

- Surveillance / NVR / DVR
- HVAC, PoS
- Medical imaging

99% of enterprise IoT and properly patched, firewalled and secure, but....

1% of many billion devices is significant.

Today, based on Nokia data (and others), botnet DDoS represents:

- 500k 1M active IoT hosts
- 50 100 Tbps aggregate capacity
- 1 2 Tbps peak observed attacks

Number of IoT devices



DDoS Attacks included in Study



Some facts: #2: There are many bots...

- Unsecured DVR easily discoverable via crawling
- Running 2016 firmware easy to exploit
- From model number, you can find CVE
- From CVE, you can find GitHub exploit code
- With exploit code, **you have a bot...**



fo	Refresh	
Device ID	000000	
Device Name	CVD-AF16S	
Device Type	(HY-DVR	
Hardware Version	DM-245	
Software Version	V7.1.0-20160603	
IE Client Version	V2.0.0.277	
IP Address		
MAC Address		
HDD Capacity	931G	
Video Format	NTSC	
Client Port	9000	
HTTP Port	80	
P2P ID	RSV1611018078580	

NOVIA

Some facts: #3: ...and (almost as) many botnets

Majority attacks < 5,000 devices and effective against many server / applications

Large networks of > 60k devices and geo-political attacks included previously unknown botnet devices

On device types:

- Most botnet are compromised CPE (e.g., Mikrotik router) followed by one of 30-40 brands of DVR
- Botnets tend to attack in "packs" of like devices and topologies
- Cloud is <u>not</u> largest by number of devices, but one of fastest growing in terms of bps / pps capacity



#4: Yet we're still in the early stages of botnet-driven DDoS impact

Last 20 years of Internet history

- Most access via Cable / DSL
- Asymmetric access 90 / 10 (down / up)

Botnet threat still limited

- Botnet bps matches industry averages
- 70% of all botnets < 50 Mbps today

i.e., **botnets limited by upstream today's bandwidth** — while race to Gbps symmetrical bandwidth is already well under way.



#4: Yet we're still in the early stages of botnet-driven DDoS impact

💎 2000/1000 Mbps maximum

💎 1100/550 Mbps átlagosan*

💎 300/50 Mbps minimum

Maximális sebesség: 1000/200 Mbps

Garantált sebesség: 100/50 Mbps 1000/300 Mbit/s Maximális sávszélesség

700/210 Mbit/s Kínált sávszélesség

300/75 Mbit/s Minimális sávszélesség

1000 Mbps maximális letöltési és 40 Mbps feltöltési sebesség Rendes körülmények között elérhető le/feltöltési sebesség 700/28 Mbps



#5: Increasingly Competitive Booter Market and cheap IoT botnets

Average Price for Buying DDoS Attacks



Collapse in daily average US price for launching a 100 Gbps DDoS using illegal booter web sites 2018 - 2022



www.cybervm.io

www.stresser.ai

www.nightmarestresser.com



Why botnet attacks are such a problem "The call is coming from inside the house"

Traditional ISP / CSP security model assumed:

- Protect external edges of network from inbound attacks, especially problematic eastern EU / Asia countries
- Protect against spoofed or amplified traffic
 - Active countermeasure (e.g., SYN cookie, HTTP redirect)
 - Shaping DNS, NTP, LDAP

The reality in 2023:

- In 2023, majority of botnet problem is North America / Europe
- Largest threat for many ISP is from their own customers



Percentage of Active Global Botnet Devices



The technical challenge with botnet DDoS Traditional payload pattern detection techniques become less effective

Traditional DDoS (till 2022)

- Spoofed IP addresses to trigger reflected amplified responses
- Or floods of crafted packets
- Often from well-known domains

From threshold-based detection

Botnet-based DDoS

- Real devices, real IP-addresses and full TCP stack
- Appears as "regular" HTTP(s) or applications bypassing scrubbing payload ML
- Growing armies of devices connected anywhere

to big-data knowledge-based detection

How can we (really) address this? #1 Anomaly detection

For >95% of DDoS, it's no longer about looking at what's inside the packet — but instead what is sending the packet.

- bps/pps thresholds and baselines are insufficient, and not adapted to most of today's traffic (including flash crowd events)
- A big data-driven approach that correlates network traffic in real-time with broader Internet context (in this case, which type of device is behind a source IP address) is much more effective in reducing DDoS false-positive

	243.170	arteria-net.com ddosbot rfjs lighttpd
	.10.50	unknown_web rfjs
)6.96	webcam ddosbot frontier.com
	6.106	Righttpd ddosbot rfys uplus.co.kr
	.59.182	ddosbot lighttpd rfjs cobra kddi.com
	7.82	ddosbot uplus.co.kr
	105	webcam ddosbot uplus.co.kr
	.70.226	openssh dropbear httpd uplus.co.kr teinetlogin ddosbot
	208.22	fighttpd ddosbot rfjs sonynetwork.co.jp
	0.169	unknown_dns
	182	alticefrance.com ddosbot
	23	telekom.hu unknown_dns rifatron webcam ddosbot
	:35.252	arteria-net.com ddosbot ipsec
	.16.55	webcam softbank.jp ddosbot
	0.197	ruijie ddasbot nginx vietteL.com.vn
	00.164	arteria-net.com ddoxbot
	1.31	ddosbot uplus.co.kr

Nokia data top sources of traffic in DNS amplification attack to a consumer IP. Data from GDTA participating service and cloud providers around the world with Nokia commercial DDoS defense solution

How can we (really) address this? #2 AI-based auto-mitigation

Once an attack is detected, a system can generate an automated response based on multiple parameters, which will create an optimized model for **that attack**, at **that time**, on **that network**.

For example:

- What's the attack vector mix?
- What mitigation devices are available on the network? At what scale and cost per bit?
- How can these devices be programmed?
- What's the botnet cluster launching that attack?

>95% attacks can be mitigated on existing (modern) routers, thanks to progress on silicon performance & programmability (particularly NETCONF).

entry 8 create
description ";#DFA;acl_90"
match protocol 17
dst-ip ip-prefix-list "VLAB_7_1"
packet-length lt 40
fragment false
exit
action
drop
exit
exit
entry 9 create
description ";#DFA;acl_571"
match protocol 6
dst-ip ip-prefix-list "VLAB_7_1"
tcp-fin true
tcp-syn true
exit
action
drop
exit
exit
entry 10 create
description ";#DFA;acl_579"
match protocol 6
<pre>src-ip ip-prefix-list "VLAB_9_518"</pre>
exit
action
drop
exit
exit
entry 4 create
description ";#DFA;acl_13498"
match
dst-ip ip-prefix-list "VLAB_9_495"
ttl range 1 37
exit
action
drop
exit

Output of mitigation strategy model to a router through NETCONF

NOKIA

How can we (really) address this? #3 Adaptive mitigation & collaborative learning

Instead of being driven by FUD:

- Mitigation effectiveness can be **measured** against body of real-world attacks
- Model can be **trained** on new attacks to optimize countermeasures
- False-negative/false-positive rates can be understood and optimized

This does require **active collaboration between CSPs**, to share (anonymized) DDoS treat intelligence data in real-time.



Summary from DDoS attack in April 2023 to an EU government host. Data from GDTA participating service and cloud providers around the world with Nokia commercial DDoS defense solution

What is today's security perimeter?



15 © 2023 Nokia Confidential

What is today's security perimeter?





Nokia Deepfield Defender in a nutshell

A high-scalable **software platform** that combines

- Nokia Deepfield Genome

 a big data based
 Supply-Chain and Security map of the Internet
- 2 **Telemetry** from your routers
- (3) with the power of **high-performance Router silicon**

to provide **DDoS protection**

- at every edge the most efficient point
- and for every customer
- at a fraction of the cost of appliance-based solutions



* SPM (Sample Packet Mirroring) - Only supported fpr Nokia SR and Juniper MX

Deepfield Router based DDoS mitigation – Implementation options



Both options match or exceed scrubber-based mitigation efficacy





DDoS botnets are nascent, but already most of DDoS traffic today

- Exponential growth of enterprise IoT
- ISP symmetrical 1Gbps marketing arms race
- Nation-state attacks with large botnet networks

Enterprise IoT botnets are everyone's problem

- ISP, enterprise, vendors must take proactive IoT threat mitigation

AI/ML provide us tools to more effectively address that threat

- Models can (and should) be trained on real-world data sets
- More collaboration is essential to share current DDoS data





With Nokia Deepfield, DDoS gets automatically classified...



21 © 2023 Nokia Confidential

Copyright and confidentiality

The contents of this document are proprietary and confidential property of Nokia. This document is provided subject to confidentiality obligations of the applicable agreement(s).

This document is intended for use by Nokia's customers and collaborators only for the purpose for which this document is submitted by Nokia. No part of this document may be reproduced or made available to the public or to any third party in any form or means without the prior written permission of Nokia. This document is to be used by properly trained professional personnel. Any use of the contents in this document is limited strictly to the use(s) specifically created in the applicable agreement(s) under which the document is submitted. The user of this document may voluntarily provide suggestions, comments or other feedback to Nokia in respect of the contents of this document ("Feedback").

Such Feedback may be used in Nokia products and related specifications or other documentation. Accordingly, if the user of this document gives Nokia Feedback on the contents of this document, Nokia may freely use, disclose, reproduce, license, distribute and otherwise commercialize the feedback in any Nokia product, technology, service, specification or other documentation.

Nokia operates a policy of ongoing development. Nokia reserves the right to make changes and improvements to any of the products and/or services described in this document or withdraw this document at any time without prior notice.

The contents of this document are provided "as is". Except as required by applicable law, no warranties of any kind, either express or implied, including, but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose, are made in relation to the accuracy, reliability or contents of this document. NOKIA SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE IN ANY EVENT FOR ERRORS IN THIS DOCUMENT or for any loss of data or income or any special, incidental, consequential, indirect or direct damages howsoever caused, that might arise from the use of this document or any contents of this document.

This document and the product(s) it describes are protected by copyright according to the applicable laws.

Nokia is a registered trademark of Nokia Corporation. Other product and company names mentioned herein may be trademarks or trade names of their respective owners.

NOKIA